operates an intrastate pipeline system in the State of Louisiana. LPR proposes an effective date of June 1, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 284.123(b)(2)(ii), if the Commission does not act within 150 days of the filing date, the rate will be deemed to be fair and equitable and not in excess of an amount which interstate pipelines would be permitted to charge for similar transportation service. The Commission may, prior to the expiration of the 150-day period, extend the time for action or institute a proceeding to afford parties an opportunity for written comments and for the oral presentation of views, data, and arguments.

Any person desiring to participate in this rate proceeding must file a motion to intervene in accordance with Sections 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures. All motions must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission on or before June 27, 1995. The petition for rate approval is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–14742 Filed 6–15–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

## [Docket No. CP95-543-000]

# Northern Natural Gas Co.; Notice of Application for Abandonment

June 12, 1995.

Take notice that on June 5, 1995, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-1000, filed, in Docket No. CP95-543-000, an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations for permission and approval to abandon, as non-jurisdictional facilities, by sale to Highlands Gathering and Processing Company (Highlands), certain compression, pipeline facilities, and delivery points, with appurtenances, located in Crockett, Schleicher, Sutton, and Val Verde Countries, Texas, as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northern states that it has entered into an April 21, 1995, Asset Purchase Agreement with Highlands pursuant to which Highlands will acquire from Northern approximately 128 miles of pipeline with pipe diameters ranging between four to sixteen inches in Crockett, Schleicher, Sutton and Val Verde Counties, Texas, and seven transmission lateral compressor stations located in Crockett, Schleicher, and Sutton Counties, Texas. Additionally, Northern proposes to abandon and convey to Highlands all farm taps, interconnecting points, and delivery points located on the subject facilities. Northern relates that the facilities which it proposes to abandon and convey to Highlands include the following segments: Highlands include the following segments: Hulldale Segment, Hullidale Loop Segment, Hunt-Baggett Segment, and Vinegarone Segment. Northern states that the segment facilities will be conveyed to Highlands for \$3.1 million. Northern says that the subject facilities were constructed as gas supply facilities in order for Northern to fulfill its merchant sales obligation but are no longer needed by Northern as its role in the marketplace has changed from a merchant or natural gas to a transporter of natural gas.

Northern notes that Highlands will file a companion filing, a Petition for Declaratory Order, which will seek a determination that the subject facilities of this abandonment application, once conveyed to Highlands, are gathering facilities, not subject to the Commission's jurisdiction pursuant to NGA Section 1(b). The petition was filed June 6, 1995, in docket No. CP95–547–000.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before July 3, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements to the Commission's Rules and Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party in any proceeding herein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designed on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matters finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by

the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Northern to appear or to be represented at the hearing.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–14743 Filed 6–15–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

#### [Docket No. CP95-532-000]

# Southern Natural Gas Co.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

June 12, 1995.

Take notice that on June 1, 1995, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, filed in Docket No. CP95-532-000, a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate a new delivery point for service to U.S. Pipe and Foundry Company (U.S. Pipe) under Southern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-406-000, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Southern proposes to construct and operate certain measurement and other appurtenant facilities in order to provide transportation service to U.S. Pipe at a new delivery point for service on Southern's Bessemer Lateral in Jefferson County, Alabama. Southern states that it proposes to locate the delivery point at or near Mile Post 1.558 on Southern's Bessemer Lateral in Jefferson County, Alabama. Specifically, the facilities at the delivery point will consist of a 4inch orifice meter and a 3-inch rotary meter, tie-in piping, electronic custody transfer equipment (ECT Equipment) and the necessary appurtenant facilities. The estimated cost of the construction of the facilities is approximately \$219,000. U.S. Pipe has complied with all the requirements under Section 36 of the General Terms and Conditions of Southern's FERC Gas Tariff for the installation of the direct delivery connection by Southern and will reimburse Southern for the cost of the facilities.

Southern states that it will transport gas on behalf of U.S. Pipe pursuant to its Rate Schedule IT. Southern states that the installation of the proposed delivery point facilities and the transportation provided thereunder will have no adverse effect on its firm requirements.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity is deemed to be authorized effective on the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

## Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–14744 Filed 6–15–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

## [Docket No. ER95-1034-000]

## IGI Resources, Inc.; Notice of Filing

June 9, 1995.

Take notice that on May 30, 1995, IGI Resources, Inc., (IGI) tendered for filing and acceptance a supplement to its Application submitted in this proceeding on May 11, 1995.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before June 23, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

# Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–14793 Filed 6–15–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. EL95-47-000, et al.]

# Megan-Racine Associates, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

June 9, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

## 1. Megan-Racine Associates, Inc.

[Docket Nos. EL95–47–000, EL95–40–000 and QF89–58–001]

On May 23, 1995 Megan-Racine Associates, Inc. (Megan-Racine) filed a request for temporary waiver of the Commission's operating and efficiency standards for the years 1991, 1992, 1993 and 1994.

Megan-Racine's request for waiver was included in its filing of an answer to the April 21, 1995 petition for a declaratory order revoking the qualifying status of a topping-cycle cogeneration facility filed by Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Niagara Mohawk) in Docket No. EL95-40-000. The facility, owned by Megan-Racine Associates, Inc. in Canton, New York, was granted certification as a qualifying cogeneration facility in an order dated January 27, 1989. Megan-Racine Associates, Inc., 46 FERC ¶ 62,074 (1989). Niagara Mohawk claimed in its petition that for the years 1991, 1992, 1993, and 1994 the facility did not meet the applicable operating and efficiency standards applicable to natural gasfired, topping-cycle qualifying cogeneration facilities under section 292.205 of the Commission's regulations. 18 CFR 292.205. Niagara Mohawk asked the Commission to declare that the facility was not a qualifying facility for the years 1991, 1992, 1993, and 1994 and asks the Commission to revoke certification for the years 1991, 1992, 1993 and 1994. In its answer to Niagara Mohawk's petition, Megan-Racine asserts that at all times its facility operated in compliance with the Commission's technical requirements. In the alternative, Megan-Racine asks that the Commission, if it finds that the facility did not operate in compliance with the operating and efficiency standards, grant waiver for the years that non-compliance is found.

Comment date: June 30, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 2. Torco Energy Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER92-429-005]

Take notice that on May 22, 1995, Torco Energy Marketing, Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission. Copies of Torco's informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

### 3. Cenergy, Inc.

[Docket No. ER94-1402-001]

Take notice that on May 23, 1995, Cenergy, Inc. (Cenergy) filed certain information as required by the Commission. Copies of Cenergy's informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

# 4. Mississippi Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-220-000]

Take notice that on May 1, 1995, Mississippi Power Company tendered for filing an amendment in the abovereferenced docket.

Comment date: June 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 5. New England Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-761-000]

Take notice that New England Power Company on May 2, 1995, tendered a request for deferral of action in this docket.

Comment date: June 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 6. Northern States Power Company (Minnesota)

[Docket No. ER95-867-000]

Take notice that May 25, 1995,
Northern States Power Company
(Minnesota) (NSP) tendered for filing an
amendment to the original Installation
and Ownership Agreement filed on
April 4, 1995, between NSP and
Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc.
(MPC). The agreement allows MPC to
double circuit a quarter of a mile of an
existing NSP transmission line between
NSP's Prairie and Gateway Substations.
The amendment provides a complete
breakdown of the estimated costs
associated with the project.

NSP requests that the Commission accept for filing this amendment effective as of August 1, 1995. NSP requests that the amendment be accepted as a supplement to Rate Schedule No. 284, the rate schedule for previously filed agreements between NSP and MPC.

Comment date: June 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 7. Progas Power, Incorporated

[Docket No. ER95-968-000]

Take notice that on June 5, 1995, Progas Power, Incorporated tendered for filing an amendment in the abovereferenced docket.